# Interaction between Water and Poly(vinylpyrrolidone) Containing Polyethylene Glycol

TAKESHI HAMAURA\* AND J. MICHAEL NEWTON

Contribution from Department of Pharmaceutics, The School of Pharmacy, University of London, 29/39 Brunswick Square, London, WC1N 1AX, UK.

Received August 31, 1998. Accepted for publication August 17, 1999.

Abstract □ Information on the interaction between water and polymers is indispensable for manufacturing solid dispersion of a drug by hotmelt extrusion because this interaction affects various properties of the water-polymer mixtures, such as their viscoelastic properties. In this study, poly(vinylpyrrolidone) K30 (PVP) containing 0%, 10%, and 20% poly(ethylene glycol) 400 (PEG) was used as model amorphous polymers. The interaction of water with these polymers was assessed by the evaluation of the glass transition temperature ( $T_{a}$ ), the point on the isotherm corresponding to the weight of sorbed water required to form a complete monolayer on the solid surface (apparent  $W_{\rm m}$ ), and the maximal amount of nonfreezing water, which were measured by differential scanning calorimetry and water sorption isotherms. In all of the systems with a water content below a certain water fraction (0.1 for PVP, 0.12 for PVP-PEG 10%, and 0.16 for PVP-PEG 20%), the  $T_q$  values were successfully predicted using theoretical equations, whereas the experimental  $T_g$  values were higher than predicted for those with a water content above these water fraction levels. In addition, these values of water fraction are similar to the apparent W<sub>m</sub> values determined using the Guggenheim-Anderson-DeBoer (GAB) equation (0.110, 0.117, and 0.147 weight fraction of water for PVP, PVP-PEG 10%, and PVP-PEG 20%, respectively). Nonfreezing water is detected above 0.47, 0.49, and 0.51 weight fraction of water for PVP, PVP–PEG 10%, and PVP–PEG 20%, respectively. Miscibility between water and PVP or PVP-PEG seems to change according to the water content in the system. All parameters increase with the concentration of PEG in the sample. This may be explained by the fact that PEG has a larger number of polymer repeating units, which may therefore interact with water more than PVP.

# Introduction

Recently, a hot-melt extrusion technique has been applied to produce a polymer-based solid dispersion of a drug as a drug delivery system.<sup>1,2</sup> Amorphous hydrophilic polymers are often used as a component of such solid dispersions for the modification of drug dissolution. During the hot-melt extrusion process, the drug is incorporated into a rubbery state of amorphous polymer mass.<sup>2</sup> Therefore, the glass transition temperature  $(T_g)$  of the amorphous polymer is one of the most important factors in the hot-melt extrusion process because the viscoelastic properties of the polymer, namely whether the polymer is rubbery or glassy at the manufacturing temperature, are affected by the  $T_g$ value.<sup>3</sup> The  $T_{\rm g}$  value of the polymer can be reduced to an appropriate temperature for the manufacturing process by adding a plasticizer such as poly(ethylene glycol) to the polymer. Moreover, it has been demonstrated that the

water in the polymer acts as a plasticizer to lower the  $T_{\rm g}$  value of the polymer–water systems by increasing the molecular mobility and free volume.<sup>4–6</sup> Amorphous polymer and plasticized polymer generally contain some amount of water, and water is sometimes added during the hot-melt extrusion process. As the water content affects the  $T_{\rm g}$  value of the system, it is necessary to determine the interaction between water and polymers, in particular the effect of water content on the  $T_{\rm g}$  value of the systems as basic information for the hot-melt extrusion process. However, although there have been some reports describing the relationship between the water content and the  $T_{\rm g}$  value of polymer–water binary systems,<sup>7,8</sup> there have been few reports on plasticized polymer–water ternary systems.<sup>6</sup>

In this study, three components, poly(vinylpyrrolidone) K30 (PVP) as a model amorphous polymer, poly(ethylene glycol) 400 (PEG) as a model plasticizer, and water, were chosen and the interaction of water with PVP containing 0%, 10% and 20% PEG was studied. The experimental  $T_g$  values of the systems containing various amounts of water were determined using differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) and were compared with those predicted by theoretical equations. The apparent  $W_m$  (the point on the isotherm corresponding to the weight of adsorbed water required to form a complete monolayer on the solid surface) was estimated by analyzing the water sorption isotherm of PVP and PVP–PEG. Furthermore, a maximal amount of nonfreezing water was calculated by DSC studies on aqueous PVP and PVP–PEG solutions.

# **Experimental Section**

**Materials**—Poly(vinylpyrrolidone) K30 (viscosity average of molecular weight, 42 500), PVP (BASF) and poly(ethylene glycol) 400 (nominal molecular weight, 400), PEG (Colorcon) were used without further treatment. Aqueous solutions of PVP—PEG 0–40% were spray dried using a Mini Büchi 190 spray dryer (Büchi) to obtain homogeneous samples. The spray-dried samples were vacuum-dried at 70 °C for 48 h in an oven. After vacuum-drying, the samples were stored in closed glass bottles. The PEG concentrations are expressed as a percentage (w/w PVP). All other reagents employed were of analytical reagent grade.

**Water Sorption Isotherm**—Approximately 400 mg of spray dried PVP, PVP—PEG 10%, and PVP—PEG 20% mixtures were accurately weighed into glass bottles and stored at 20 °C in desiccators containing saturated inorganic salt solutions giving various equilibrium relative humidities (RH) (7–75% RH) until a constant weight was obtained (at least 5 weeks). The salts used (with their corresponding relative humidities at 20 °C) were lithium bromide (7% RH), lithium chloride (11% RH), potassium acetate (23% RH), magnesium chloride (33% RH), potassium carbonate (43% RH), and sodium chloride (75% RH). The water content of the samples was calculated using the initial water content and the change in weight induced by storing the samples under each relative humidity condition. The initial water content of the samples was determined by drying at 120 °C for 5 h.

10.1021/js980354c CCC: \$18.00 Published on Web 10/07/1999

<sup>\*</sup> Present address of corresponding author: Product Development Laboratories, Sankyo Co. Ltd. 1-2-58 Hiromachi, Shinagawa, Tokyo, Japan Tel: +81(3)3492-3131.Fax: +81(3)5436-8568.E-mail: khamau@shina.sankyo.o.jp.



Figure 1—Typical DSC curve of a PVP-water system (glass transition).

Determination of Tg by DSC-Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) measurements were performed using a Perkin-Elmer DSC 7 with a refrigerating system (Perkin-Elmer, Beaconsfield, UK). Samples (3-8 mg) stored under various RH were carefully transferred into aluminum pans in the desiccator, and the pans were hermetically sealed to prevent water loss during the DSC experiments. The samples were placed into the DSC under a nitrogen atmosphere and heated to 10-25 K above their  $T_{\rm g}$ . The samples were subsequently cooled at 20 K/min to 273 or 223 K and then reheated. The first heating run exhibited an enthalpy relaxation endotherm at around the  $T_g$  value. Therefore, the  $T_g$ value of the samples was determined from the second heating cycle. Figure 1 illustrates a typical DSC curve of the second run for a sample of the PVP-water system (PVP stored under 75% RH). The  $T_g$  value was calculated by the half-extrapolated capacity method.9 No endothermic peak relating to the melting of PEG was found even in the DSC curves of the PVP-PEG 10% and PVP-PEG 20% mixtures.

For determination of the  $T_{\rm g}$  value of samples containing no water, samples (4–7 mg) were stored over  $\rm P_2O_5$  in a sealed desiccator for more than 5 weeks and DSC studies were carried out in open aluminum pans. The samples were repeatedly heated to 10–25 K above their  $T_{\rm g}$  and subsequently cooled to 273 K at 20 K/min under a dry nitrogen purge. As the samples exhibited negligible differences between the  $T_{\rm g}$  value determined from the second heating cycle and that from the third heating cycle, the  $T_{\rm g}$  value of the sample containing no water. All experiments were performed at least twice.

Determination of Freezing Water by DSC-To determine the amount of freezing water in the systems, a Perkin-Elmer DSC 7 with a cooling accessory (Perkin-Elmer, Beaconsfield, UK) was also used. Samples (5-15 mg) of various concentrations of aqueous PVP solution containing 0%, 10%, and 20% PEG were transferred into hermetically sealed aluminum pans to prevent water loss during the experiments. Samples were placed into the DSC and cooled to 223 K to promote freezing of any unbound water. Then, they were heated at 10 K/min to 303 K under a nitrogen atmosphere. Figure 2 depicts some typical melting endotherms of PVP-water systems. In the DSC curves, a small baseline change (occasionally small peak) is found at around 243 K (-30 °C). It seems that the baseline changes are caused by heterogeneity in the system, and the changes may be attributed to the glass transition or recrystallization. However, as the changes are considerably small and are not sometimes reproducible, it is difficult to determine what causes them only from the conventional DSC studies. Therefore, in this study, only the enthalpy of fusion of ice corresponding to the amount of freezing water was determined. Determination by other methods such as a modulated temperature DSC is necessary to elucidate what causes the baseline changes. The experiments were performed at least three times.

**Equation To Predict the Value of the**  $T_{\rm g}^{\rm mix}$  **of the Systems**— An equation for the prediction of the value of the  $T_{\rm g}$  of a ternary system was employed. This equation is an extension of the Gordon–Taylor equation,<sup>8,10</sup> the equation for the prediction of the  $T_{\rm g}$  value of a binary system. By assuming perfect volume additivity at the  $T_{\rm g}$  and no specific interaction among the three systems, we can write



Figure 2—Typical DSC curves of PVP-water systems (melting peak).

$$T_{\rm g}^{\rm mix} = \Phi_1 T_{\rm g1} + \Phi_2 T_{\rm g2} + \Phi_3 T_{\rm g3} \tag{1}$$

where *F* is the volume fraction of each component. As  $\Phi = [(\Delta \alpha w)/\rho]$ , where  $\Delta \alpha$  is the change in thermal expansivity of  $T_g$  and  $\Delta \alpha T_g \approx \text{constant}$ ,<sup>11</sup> it is possible to rewrite the equation as

$$T_{\rm g}^{\rm mix} = \frac{W_1 T_{\rm g1} + K_2 W_2 T_{\rm g2} + K_3 W_3 T_{\rm g3}}{W_1 + K_2 W_2 + K_3 W_3}$$
(2)

where  $w_1$ ,  $w_2$ , and  $w_3$  are the weight fractions, and  $T_{g1}$ ,  $T_{g2}$ ,  $T_{g3}$ , and  $T_g^{mix}$  are the glass transition temperatures in Kelvin of components 1, 2, 3, and the mixture.<sup>12</sup> The constants  $K_2$  and  $K_3$ can be approximated as  $K_2 = (\rho_1 T_{g1})/(\rho_2 T_{g2})$  and  $K_3 = (\rho_1 T_{g1})/(\rho_3 T_{g3})$ , where  $\rho$  is the density of the materials. This equation is the Gordon–Taylor equation when we consider  $w_3 = 0$ . The constants  $K_2$  and  $K_3$  were calculated from the value of the  $T_g$  of 135,<sup>13</sup> 450, and 198 K<sup>13</sup> (–138, 177, and –75 °C, respectively) for water, PVP, and PEG and the densities of 1.00, 1.25, and 1.12 g/cm<sup>3</sup>, respectively. The densities were measured using an air pycnometer (Air comparison pycnometer model 930, Beckman).

A similar equation, eq 3, can be readily derived for mixtures containing *i* components

$$T_{\rm g}^{\rm mix} = \frac{\sum K_i w_i T_{\rm gi}}{\sum K_i w_i} \tag{3}$$

where  $K_i = (\rho_1 T_{g1})/(\rho_i T_{gi})$ .

**X-ray Powder Diffraction**—X-ray powder diffraction patterns were monitored by a diffractometer with Cu K $\alpha$  radiation and a nickel filter at 40 kV and 40 mA (RINT 2200V, RIGAKU). The samples of spray-dried PVP, PVP–PEG 10%, and PVP–PEG 20% stored over P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> in a sealed desiccator were transferred into a sample holder and scanned at 4°/min over a range of 2°–40°. All samples exhibited halo X-ray powder diffraction patterns and were considered to be amorphous.

**Multiple Regression Analysis**—A nonlinear multiple regression program, MULTI, developed by Yamaoka et al.,<sup>14</sup> was used to carry out multiple regression analysis. The correlation coefficient (r) was calculated using the following equation

$$r = \left(1 - \frac{\text{ESS}}{\text{EMS}}\right)^{1/2} \tag{4}$$

where ESS is the sums of squares of the deviation of the theoretical values from the experimental values and EMS is the sum of squares of the deviation of the experimental values from their mean.

**Statistical Analysis**—The calculated maximal amount of nonfreezing water in PVP and PVP–PEG mixtures was statistically



**Figure 3**—Effect of the weight fraction of water or PEG on the  $T_g^{mix}$  of PVP– water or PVP–PEG systems. The symbols represent experimental data points and the solid line represents the fit to the Gordon–Taylor equation (eq 2 in the text).

compared among each other using the Student's *t* test. Differences with p < 0.05 were considered significant.

#### **Results and Discussion**

**Glass Transition Temperature of PVP-PEG and PVP-Water Binary Systems**-Figure 3 shows the T<sub>g</sub> value of PVP-water and PVP-PEG binary systems as a function of the weight fraction of water or PEG. The solid lines on the plots represent  $T_g$  values predicted by the Gordon–Taylor equation (eq 2). The  $T_g$  value decreases significantly with increasing weight fraction of water or PEG, showing that water as well as PEG acts as a good plasticizer. In the case of the PVP- PEG systems, the experimental  $T_{\rm g}$  data fitted the predicted values well over the entire range studied. Therefore, it is considered that PVP and PEG mix ideally over the entire range studied (0-0.29 weight fraction of PEG). In the case of the PVPwater system, above a water fraction of around 0.1, the experimental  $T_g$  values for the PVP-water system were higher than predicted, whereas below this water fraction there was no difference between the experimental and theoretical  $T_g$  values. The results obtained for the PVPwater system are in excellent agreement with previous findings.<sup>8</sup> It is considered that PVP and water are miscible ideally up to a water fraction of around 0.1, but they mix nonideally above this water fraction. In other words, it seems that the Gordon-Taylor equation is not suitable to predict the  $T_g$  values of the PVP-water system above a water fraction of around 0.1. There could be other factors affecting the systems, which are not taken into consideration in the Gordon-Taylor equation.

**Glass Transition Temperature of PVP-PEG-Wa**ter Ternary Systems-Two amorphous PVP-PEG systems containing 10% and 20% PEG (0.09 and 0.17 weight fraction of PEG in the PVP-PEG systems, respectively) were chosen to determine the effect of water on the Tvalues in the PVP-PEG-water systems. At these PEG fractions, PVP and PEG mix ideally, as stated above. Figure 4 depicts the  $T_{g}$  value of these two ternary systems of PVP-PEG-water as a function of the weight fraction of water. The solid lines on the plots represent values of  $T_{\rm g}^{\rm mix}$  predicted by the extended Gordon–Taylor equation (eq 2). As can be seen, the  $T_g$  data fitted the predicted  $T_g$ values well up to a water fraction of around 0.12 for the PVP-PEG 10%-water system and up to a water fraction of around 0.16 for the PVP-PEG 20%-water system. Therefore, it is suggested that these three components are miscible ideally with each other at a low water content. However, above a certain water content, deviations between the experimental and theoretical  $T_g$  values of the



**Figure 4**—Effect of the weight fraction of water on the  $T_g^{mix}$  in PVP–PEG– water systems. The symbols represent experimental data points and the solid line represents the fit to the extended Gordon–Taylor equation (eq 2 in the text).



**Figure 5**—Water vapor absorption isotherms for PVP and PVP–PEG at 20 °C. The symbols represent experimental data points and the solid lines on the plot represent curve-fitted values using the GAB equation (eq 5 in the text).

PVP-PEG-water system were observed, as in the PVPwater system. It should be noted that the water fraction at which the deviations begin increases with the concentration of PEG (0%, 10%, 20%) in the sample.

Water Vapor Sorption Isotherms for PVP and **PVP-PEG Mixtures**—It is suggested that the miscibility between water and PVP or PVP-PEG systems changes at a certain water content based on the  $T_{\rm g}$  studies. The interaction of water with other components has also been estimated by studies of water vapor sorption isotherms.  $W_{\rm m}$ , the weight of adsorbed water required to form a complete monolayer on the solid surface, is one of the critical factors needed to characterize quantitatively the water sorption isotherms.  $W_{\rm m}$  is calculated by fitting the sorption isotherm to the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) equation<sup>15</sup> or the Guggenheim-Anderson-DeBoer (GAB) equation,<sup>16–18</sup> which is an extension of the BET equation. The BET and GAB equations are generally applied to physical adsorption. The mechanism of water sorption to an amorphous solid like PVP is different from that of physical adsorption. However, even in an amorphous solid, it has been suggested that there is evidence indicating that at the point on the isotherm corresponding to the water content of  $W_{\rm m}$  (apparent  $W_{\rm m}$ ), certain effects of water on solids change significantly and the apparent  $W_{\rm m}$  value can be an important parameter relating to the interaction of polymer with water.<sup>19-21</sup>

Figure 5 shows the water vapor sorption isotherms for PVP containing 0%, 10%, and 20% PEG at 20 °C. The water content at each RH decreases with increasing concentration of PEG in the samples and the water uptake is significantly inhibited by the addition of PEG at 20–50% RH. All the water sorption isotherms of PVP and PVP–PEG are sigmoidal in shape (type II<sup>22</sup>). Therefore, the GAB equation,

given by eq 5, (where *k* is the GAB constant, *W* is the mass of gas sorbed per mass of dry solid at a relative pressure of  $p/p_0$ , and  $C_B$  is a constant which reflects the overall free energy of sorption)

$$W = \frac{kW_{\rm m}\frac{p}{p_{\rm o}}C_{\rm B}}{\left(1 - k\frac{p}{p_{\rm o}}\right)\left(1 - k\frac{p}{p_{\rm o}} + kC_{\rm B}\frac{p}{p_{\rm o}}\right)}$$
(5)

was fitted to the data and the apparent  $W_{\rm m}$  values were obtained by multiple regression analysis, though mixtures such as the PVP-PEG system are different from the systems for which the GAB equation was originally intended. As shown in Figure 5, the calculated *W* values, which are represented as a solid line, fitted the experimental *W* values very well for PVP containing 0%, 10%, and 20% PEG systems with a correlation coefficient greater than 0.995. The values of apparent  $W_{\rm m}$  calculated are 0.124, 0.133, and 0.173 g of water/g of solid (0.110, 0.117, and 0.147 weight fraction of water, respectively) for PVP containing 0%, 10%, and 20% PEG, respectively. The relative humidities at which the *W* value is equal to the calculated apparent  $W_{\rm m}$  value, (the RH( $W_{\rm m}$ )) was 28%, 36%, and 48% RH for PVP containing 0%, 10%, and 20% PEG, respectively. The apparent  $W_{\rm m}$  value increases with the concentration of PEG in the sample. This suggests that in the sample containing a higher concentration of PEG, the interaction with water changes significantly when a larger amount of water is sorbed (the apparent  $W_{\rm m}$ ).

As mentioned above, the deviation of the experimental  $T_{\rm g}$  values from those predicted seems to begin at a higher weight fraction of water in the sample containing a higher content of PEG. Also, the apparent  $W_{\rm m}$  values increase with increasing PEG concentration in the sample. It should be remembered that the experimental  $T_{\rm g}$  values were measured using a nonisothermal method (DSC), whereas the  $W_{\rm m}$  values were estimated from isothermal measurements (the sorption isotherms). However, both values, the apparent  $W_{\rm m}$  values and the weight fraction at which the deviation of the experimental  $T_{\rm g}$  values seems to begin, are similar, which suggests that water interacts ideally with the polymer up to the higher water content in the sample containing the higher amount of PEG.

The Maximal Amount of Nonfreezing Water in PVP and PVP-PEG Mixtures-When samples contain immiscible water, the system shows a melting peak of water at 0 °C or less during heating after prior cooling. This component of water in the system can be regarded as freezing water, which is classified into two types,<sup>23,24</sup> type I, free, freezing water melting at 0 °C; and type II, loosely bound, freezing water with a melting point below that of pure water. Nonfreezing water is classified as type III. The PVP and PVP-PEG samples stored under conditions of RH up to 75% showed no melting peak of water in the DSC experiments. Consequently, no detectable freezing water exists in these systems, though some of them have the appearance of a transparent gel. Thus, to determine the interaction of water with PVP and PVP-PEG over a wide range, the melting peak of water in various concentrations of aqueous PVP and PVP-PEG solutions was detected using DSC, and the maximal amount of nonfreezing water which can be contained in the PVP-water and PVP-PEGwater systems was calculated.

Two methods have been applied to obtain the maximal amount of nonfreezing water in a polymer–water system.<sup>25</sup> Sung<sup>26</sup> reported that when there is a linear relationship between the enthalpies of water (J/g of dry polymer) and the ratio of water to polymer, the extrapolation to zero



**Figure 6**—Relationship between (a) enthalpies of fusion and water/PVP ratio for PVP solutions (Sung's plot) and (b) enthalpies of fusion and water percentage for PVP solutions (Ford and Mitchell's plot).

enthalpy gives the maximal amount of nonfreezing water in the system. Ford and Mitchell<sup>27</sup> also reported that when a linear relationship exists between the enthalpies of water (J/g of sample) and the percentage of water in the system, the maximal amount of nonfreezing water in the system is calculated from the extrapolation to zero enthalpy. Figure 6a,b shows Sung's plot and Ford and Mitchell's plot for the PVP-water system. In both cases, there is a linear relationship between the enthalpies of water and the ratio of water to polymer or the percentage of water. From both Sung's plot and Ford and Mitchell's plot, the maximal amounts of nonfreezing water are calculated to be around 0.47 of the weight fraction of water, corresponding to around 5.4 mol of water per polymer repeating unit (PRU) of PVP. Although the experimental  $T_g$  values could not be measured due to the limitations of the cooling system (minimum temperature 223 K), it is expected that more than one  $T_{\rm g}$  value would be detected in the sample containing freezing water due to its heterogeneity.<sup>12,28</sup>

In the case of the ternary systems, Sung's method does not seem to be suitable for obtaining the maximal amount of nonfreezing water, because it is impossible to calculate the enthalpies of water based on J/g of dry polymer. Therefore, only the Ford and Mitchell method was applied to obtain the maximal amount of nonfreezing water. As shown in Figure 7, there is a linear relationship between the enthalpies of water (J/g of sample) and the percentage of water in both PVP-PEG 10% and 20% systems. The maximal amount of nonfreezing water is calculated to be 0.49 and 0.51 for PVP-PEG 10% and 20% systems. These results indicate that freezing water is detected above 0.47, 0.49, and 0.51 weight fraction of water for PVP containing 0%, 10%, and 20% PEG, respectively. These values were analyzed to be significantly different. It is suggested that as PEG interacts with larger amounts of water compared to PVP, larger amounts of water can exist as nonfreezing water by adding PEG to PVP.

The Miscibility between Water and PVP or PVP– PEG Systems—From the results obtained above, the



Figure 7-Relationship between enthalpies of fusion and water percentage for PVP-PEG solutions (Ford and Mitchell's plot).

miscibility between water and PVP can be expressed with certain assumptions as follows: up to the apparent  $W_{\rm m}$ value (around 0.1 weight fraction of water), PVP and water mix ideally and the experimental  $T_g$  values of the PVPwater system can be estimated using the Gordon-Taylor equation. It has been reported that the interaction between the hydrophilic polymer and water may change according to the water content.<sup>6</sup> For example, in the case of amylosewater systems, it was assumed that the polymer-water system formed by hydrogen bonds is more compact than the polymer-polymer system with less than 10% water content and that a looser network is formed due to the occurrence of water-water interactions at a higher water content. As the miscibility of PVP and water also relates to the hydrogen bonds between them, it is speculated that the interaction between PVP and water changes at the weight fraction of around 0.1. This water fraction corresponds to the ratio of two water molecules ( $M_{\rm w} = 18$ ) to three PRU of PVP (PRU of PVP = 111).

On the other hand, above a 0.47 water weight fraction of water, corresponding to around 5.4 mol of water per PRU of PVP, freezing water is detected in the PVP-water system. It is expected that more than one  $T_g$  value may be detected due to the heterogeneity in the system. At a weight fraction of water of 0.1-0.47, though water still exists as nonfreezing water in the system, the miscibility of water and PVP must have decreased as the experimental  $T_{\rm g}$  value did not decrease as much as predicted. In the case of maize starch and hydroxypropylmethyl cellulose, it has been reported that three states of sorbed water, internally absorbed water, monolayer-adsorbed water, and externally adsorbed water, could be estimated by analyzing the water sorption and desorption patterns using the Young-Nelson equations.<sup>25,29</sup> The interaction between water and solid is considered to be significantly influenced by these states of water. In the case of the PVP-water system, as the hypotheses based on the Young-Nelson equations are inadequate, the three states of water cannot be calculated using the Young-Nelson equations.

In the PVP-PEG-water systems, though the interaction among the three components are more complicated, it is speculated that the miscibility between water and the PVP-PEG system can be expressed, as in the case of PVP, except that both the apparent  $W_{\rm m}$  values (or water fraction at which the deviations of the  $T_{\rm g}$  values begin) and the maximal amount of nonfreezing water increase with increasing concentration of PEG in the sample. In the PVP-PEG-water systems, it may fairly be presumed that the three components interact with each other by hydrogen bonds. The PRU of PEG ( $CH_2OCH_2$ , PRU of PEG = 44) is smaller than the PRU of PVP (111) and PEG has more groups that may interact with water from the hydrogen bonds than PVP. Therefore, this may explain why PVP containing the higher concentration of PEG interacts with

water up to the higher water fraction (the apparent  $W_{\rm m}$ and the maximal amount of nonfreezing water).

### Conclusion

The interaction between water and PVP containing 0%, 10%, and 20% PEG was studied using DSC and water sorption isotherms. The miscibility between water and PVP seems to change according to the water content in the system. Up to the apparent  $W_{\rm m}$  value (around 0.1 weight fraction of water), PVP and water mix ideally and the experimental  $T_{\rm g}$  values of the PVP–water system can be estimated using the Gordon–Taylor equation. From a 0.1 weight fraction of water to 0.47, which is calculated as the maximal amount of nonfreezing water, although water still exists as nonfreezing water in the system, the miscibility of water and PVP must have decreased as the experimental  $T_{\rm g}$  value did not decrease as much as predicted. On the other hand, at a water weight fraction above 0.47, freezing water was present in the system and it is expected that there may be more than one  $T_g$  value due to the hetero-geneity in the system. All parameters, the water fraction at which the deviation of the  $T_{\rm g}$  values begins, the apparent  $W_{\rm m}$  value, and the maximal amount of nonfreezing water, increase with the concentration of PEG in the sample. This may be explained by the fact that PEG has more polymer repeating units, which may interact with water more than PVP.

# **References and Notes**

- 1. Grunhagen, H. H. Extrusion set to revolutionize tablet
- Makamichi, K.; Yasuura, H.; Fukui, H.; Oka, M.; Izumi, S.; Andou, T.; Simizu, N.; Ushimaru. K. New preparation method of solid dispersion by twinscrew extruder. *Pharm. Tachael Loc 100*, *16*, 215 *Technol. Jpn.* **1996**, *12*, 715–729. 3. Hancock, B. C.; Zografi, G. Characterization and Significance
- of the Amorphous State in Pharmaceutical Systems. J. Pharm. Sci. 1997, 86, 1–12.
- 4. Levine, H.; Slade, L. Water as a plasticizer: Physicochemical aspects of low-moisture polymeric systems. In *Water Science Reviews*; Franks, F., Ed.; Cambridge University: Cambridge, 1987; pp 79–185. Shalaev, E. Y.; Zografi, G. How does Residual Water Affect
- Shinkey L. T., Bighan, and States Respirated where the following the Amorphous State? J. Pharm. Sci. 1996, 85, 1137–1141.
   Lourdin, D.; Coignard, L.; Bizot, H.; Colonna, P. Influence
- of Equilibrium Relative Humidity and Plasticizer Concentration on the Water Content and Glass Transition of Starch Materials. *Polymer* 1997, *38*, 5401–5406.
  7. Oksanen, C. A.; Zografi, G. The relationship between the
- glass transition temperature and water vapor absorption by poly(vinylpyrrolidone). *Pharm. Res.* **1990**, *7*, 654–657. Hancock, B. C.; Zografi, G. The relationship between the glass
- transition temperature and the water content of amorphous pharmaceutical solids. *Pharm. Res.* **1994**, *11*, 471–477. Wendlandt, W. *Thermal Analysis*, 3rd ed.; Wiley and Sons:
- New York, 1986; pp 436–440. 10. Gordon, M.; Taylor, J. S. Ideal Copolymers and the Second-
- Order Transitions of Synthetic Rubbers. 1. Noncrystalline Copolymers. J. Appl. Chem. **1952**, 2, 493–500.
- 11. Simha, R.; Boyer, R. F. General Relation Involving the Glass Transition Temperature and Coefficient of Expansion of Polymers. J. Chem. Phys. **1950**, 21, 581–591.
- 12. Lu, Q.; Zografi, G. Phase behavior of binary and ternary amorphous mixtures containing indomethacin, citric acid, and PVP. *Pharm. Res.* **1998**, *15*, 1202–1206.
- 13. Velhoeven, J.; Schaeffer, R.; Bouwstra, J. A.; Junginger, H. E. The physicochemical characterization of poly(2-hydroxy-ethyl methacrylate-*co*-methacrylic acid: 2. Effect of water, PEG400 and PEG6000 on the glass transition temperature. *Polymer* **1989**, *30*, 1946–1950. 14. Yamaoka, K.; Tanigawara, Y.; Nakagawa, T.; Uno, T. A
- Pharmacokinetic Analysis Program (MULTI) for Microcomputer. *J. Pharmacobio-Dyn.* **1981**, *4*, 879–885. 15. Brunauer, S.; Emmett, P. H.; Teller, E. Adsorption of gases
- in multimolecular layers. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1938, 60, 309-319.

- 16. Guggenheim, E. A. Applications of statistical Mechanics; Clarendon Press: Oxford, 1966; pp 186–206. Anderson, R. B., Modifications of the Brunauer, Emmett and
- 17. Teller equation. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1946, 68, 686-691.
- 18. DeBoer, J. H. The Dynamic Character of Adsorption, 2nd ed.; Clarendon Press: Öxford, 1968; pp 200-219. 19. Zografi, G. States of water associated with solids. Drug. Dev.
- Ind. Pharm. 1988, 14, 1905–1926.
- 20. Zografi. G.; Kontny, M. J.; Yang, A. Y. S.; Brenner, G. S.
- Zografi. G.; Kontny, M. J.; Yang, A. Y. S.; Brenner, G. S. Surface area and water vapor sorption of microrystalline cellulose. *Int. J. Pharm.* **1984**, *18*, 99–116.
   Faroongsarng, D.; Peck, G. E. The swelling & water uptake of tablets III: Moisture sorption behavior of tablet disinte-grants. *Drug Dev. Ind. Pharm.* **1994**, *20*, 779–798.
   Martin, A.; Swarbrick, J.; Cammarata, A. Physical Chemis-try, Physical Chemical Principles in the Pharmaceutical Sciences, 3rd ed.; Lea & Febiger: Philadelphia, 1983; pp 445-468 445 - 468.
- 23. Hatakeyama, H.; Hatakeyama, T., Interaction between water and hydrophilic polymers. Thermochim. Acta 1998, 308, 3 - 22
- 24. Joshi, H. N.; Wilson, T. D. Calorimetric studies of dissolution hydroxypropyl methylcellulose E5 (HPMC E5) in water. J. Pharm. Sci. **1993**, 82, 1033–1038.

- 25. Nokhodchi, A.; Ford, J. L.; Rubinstein, M. H. Studies on the interaction between water and (Hydroxypropyl) methylcel-lulose. J. Pharm. Sci. 1997, 86, 608-615.
- 26. Sung Y. K. Interaction of water with hydrophilic methacrylate polymers., Ph.D. Thesis, University of Utah, 1978.
- Ford, J. L.; Mitchell, K. Thermal analysis of gels and matrix tablets containing cellulose ethers. *Thermochim. Acta* **1995**, 27 248, 329-345.
- Turi, E. A. (Ed.) Thermal Characterization of Polymeric Materials, 2nd ed.; Academic Press: New York, 1997; pp 2384-2400.
- 29. York, P. Analysis of moisture sorption hysteresis in hard gelatin capsules, maize starch, and maize starch:drug powder mixtures. J. Pharm. Pharm. 1980, 18, 269-273.

## Acknowledgments

Takeshi Hamaura acknowledges Sankyo Company Ltd. for financial support.

JS980354C